

## Hemswell Neighbourhood Plan Sites Selection 9/10/11 November 2018

Site Name	Do you agree that this site should be included in the Neighbourhood Plan?		Comments
	Yes	No	
CFS08			
Garden Area West of No.7 Church Street	34	2	No Problem with one dwelling. Is it big enough for one house? Is it going to feel too built up? Parking? Or yet more cars on small road. One dwelling or small development. This is a small plot of land with nice houses around which would be spoiled by a new house. The main road is surrounded by old houses and a new house would destroy the character of the village. No issues. Keep Barn, good space around and parking. Would need to use local materials and not too large a footprint. Wouldn't look out of place. OK for one. If done to fit in with existing housing. As there is a property here now, it wont detract from the existing village layout. This would be a simple in-fill site in the village.
CFS 11			
Former Stud Buildings, Dawnhill Lane	35	1	Could prove valueable architectural benefit to village with meaningful sympathetic development. Re-development of Stud Buildings is fine but feel the paddock should not be developed.No problem with this one development of buildings in situ. It would be preferable to redesign the stud buildings but keep the greenfield site to the north. The exterior appearance should be retained due to the character and attractive visual impact. Stable & yard only, Not meadow (spoil views up the cliff, Barn Owls use the meadow). Include Stables/Yard but not field because green land is important and there is a public footpath to the forest which would be spoiled if houses were built. Depending on plans submitted. Dependant upon type of buildings proposed. Danger re-access near blind corner a concern for additional traffic. So long as utilises current old buildings- middle buildings need to come down for additional parking & access road needs to be widened.Would make good live/work buildings if done sympathetically & parking off road. It would enhance the village. Agree totally. If done sympathetically for an appropriate number of houses each with sufficient gardens/outside space and parking. It does not neighbour any existing property so it wont detract from the existing. I would not want to see the existing farm house demolished. This makes perfect sense as it is already partly developed.
PA2			
Former Blacksmiths Forge, Brook Street	36		Development could prove an asset to village street scene. Please allow something to be done to this site!! Needs to keep the character of the forge and the history of its role in the village. A sympathetic restoration not a redevelopment. So long as the Blacksmith Forge building is retained. Keep old forge, good space and parking. Already a building there so no problems. For one. It is currently a shame to see such a historic and prominent building untouched and in such a poor condition. Conversion or restoration should be encouraged to save the forge. As there is a property here now, it wont detract from the existing village layout. This again is a simple in-fill site.
CFS 09			
Land South of No.18 Church Street	31	3	Access might be a problem to this site. There are already several houses around here, building another one would make it feel very cramped. From personal point of vew this would compromise the privacy of my garden. Possibly more than one. There is already a road and it will be hidden by trees. Already been agreed. Already agreed. Only for one OK. It appears this site borders the below site, consideration on both sites should be given to the trees regardless if they are protected or not. I feel a single property here would not detract from the existing village layout. (see below*) Increased village size and traffic from developments without safety issues already here being addressed, impact assessment inadequate. This would not encroach on any existing properties.
CFS 10			
Land West of Weldon Road/South of Church Street	26	9	No means of integration with the heart of the village. Footpath or access for emergency vehicles only onto Church Street. I don't feel like this development would integrate well with the rest of the village. It would also affect a lot of houses within the Conservation Area (To explain further, this seems like building 'next' to the village, rather than in it). This would not alter the core shape of the village, so is an ideal site to put houses and not encroach on the views of houses nearby. Such large scale development should be managed sensitively to the street scene (good quality & well designed buildings). 8 or less, 2 houses could be built on wooded area on Church Street next to 'The Old Post Office'. As long as the top left sections of the build is left alone, so the trees stay, because they are part of the village. Depending on plans submitted. Would need to take note of Character Assessment in terms of style. May be a little isolated from rest of village. Already been agreed. Already agreed. Shame it's outside village limit. Only emergency access to Church Street, not a through road. Access. Danger of the area becoming isolated from the rest of the village. This is going outside of village boundary - access dangerous onto a busy road into the village. In principle this site would appear suitable but consideration for gardens and parking must be given and also, it not become a president to continue building on the green fields to the south/west. I feel this would have an adverse effect on a lot of existing properties covering a large part of the south of the village. Lack of due diligence/safety assessment regarding increased traffic of well used routes, pedestrians crossing at blind bend at junction of Weldon Road and Bunkers Hill. Lack of suitable pedestrian road access through to next blind bend. This would cause many traffic problems at the corner of Bunkers Hill. It is also an area outside the village boundary.The plans for possible entry/Exit of traffic to the side of The Old Chapel in Weldon Road are flawed. There is already considerable danger to vehicles and pedestrians on the junction of Weldon Road/Bunkers Hill. Traffic emerging from the village into Bunkers Lane only become visible as they are on the bend and at 30 MPH there is very little time to react for any vehicle emerging from Weldon Road near the proposed entry/Exit. Likewise, for vehicles emerging from the village and wanting to turn right across oncoming traffic into Weldon Road to access the proposed entry, they need to stop on the middle of the sharp bend and wait for a gap in the traffic before turning. Already a dangerous manoeuvre, with increased traffic volume to and from the proposed new houses, there would be traffic chaos and subsequentdanger to life. I therefore object to the plans.
Further Comments	Agree with the refusal of the other sites for consideration. It would be nice to see the Barn completed at Low Farm. I would like big green zones to be left as big green zones. CL1657 - think this should go ahead- re-use the beautiful old barn. Would like dry stone walls to be preserved where possible. Wouldn't like to see any large scale developments within the village. I have answered yes on condition that any new buildings are sympathetically constructed. Personally I think old or old style brick looks better than squared off stone or re-constituted stone. We should restrict to only infill development. Hemswell is a very attractive small village and any development needs to keep this appeal. 'Multiple' property developments change the character of the area and this would be regrettable. Parking and the volume of cars is too often a problem with these developments too. There are serious safety issues for walkers around both sites (CFS09 & CFS10) and elsewhere in village. These have not been properly assessed and addressed within the existing plan. I do think that any sites that can be deemed as in-fill is definitely acceptable.		

