

West Lindsey District Council

Survey 17 Summer 2015

Please insert your full panel reference exactly as shown on your email:
781 (100.0%)

Section 2: CCTV

13. What areas in Gainsborough do you think are the priority for covering with CCTV?
383 (100.0%)

1: I dont think I am qualified to say. The temptation is to say one's own preferences, rather than what is specifically required. I suspect the police would have data in respect of 'high' risk areas - any changes should be made on the evidence provided by such data, not just on the whim of someone like me. I would like to see a critical approach taken to any change mangagement.

3: Market square

7: Areas with pubs/clubs

14: Market Place ,bus station

15: Les Road Station used to be a place that could do with being covered. Haven't been there in a good few years, commuting by car as opposed to by train now so it might have been done.

20: I feel vulnerable when going near the bus station from the car park, however I don't know if there is CCTV there. Particularly the alley which is near sports direct

21: Areas presently covered.

22: The areas outside Gainsborough....see next comment/

29: Areas where the public are exposed to the possibility of crime, the narrower streets and streets off the main thoroughfares.

31: The less public areas

34: All areas should be covered

36: Gainsborough does not deserve the benefit of improved CCTV, other towns and villages, like Market Rasen or Caistor are far more deserving.

38: I don't know: what are the areas most susceptible to accident or to lawbreaking (including traffic)?

- 41: Everywhere where crime is above the national or local average .
- 43: TOWN SQUARE AND ASSOCIATED ROADS
- 44: Town Centre, Marshall's Yard & along Trinity Street
- 45: Silver street, lord street and market place
- 46: As a resident who lives many miles from Gainsborough I do not consider any area of Gainsborough a priority for CCTV
- 50: Town, Pingle Hill, Trinity Street, Church Street, Car Parks
- 54: Obviously the main shopping areas and car parks, but thought should be given to other choke points such as approach roads to sports venues and other areas that have more out of town visitors
- 55: High street plus car parks as women are often walking there alone
- 56: Market square, Marshall's Yard and car parks with entry roads to shopping areas
- 58: Town centre and Marshalls Yard Bus station
- 62: Town centre
- 63: Main shopping areas.
- 65: Outside the pubs and on the major roads and walkways. Possibly along the river too as that is easily walkable to from the pubs
- 66: Areas where cars are parked, especially after dark. It can be daunting for owners to return to their vehicles, especially when groups of teenagers are hanging around, even when they are honest kids.
- 67: Marshals yard and the road outside around MacDonalds area
- 72: All shopping areas
- 73: The main shopping and social areas.
- 76: Gainsborough South West & Trinity Centre
- 79: mostly big shopping areas no
- 82: Car parks
- 88: trinity street
- 89: Marshals yard and the town centre
- 90: Some of the more obscure darker areas that are not adequately lit (i.e Little Church Lane) Frequently used by pubgoers as a urinal.
- 93: I am not familiar with the town, and can't comment.
- 94: Town Centre, Marshall Yard and Multi Storage Car Park

- 96: Gainsborough Town Centre, but also Brudge Street, Foxby hill, Middlefield Lane Heapham Road South and Morton Terrace, so that criminals can be monitored entering and leaving the Town
- 98: Town centre area and also areas where high value premises are
- 100: I should areas that are arts based eg Theatres etc
- 104: Scotter
- 109: main streets and shopping areas, car parks ect.
- 112: I think the existing coverage does the job - it concentrates on the areas where the local pubs are and protects the town centre.
- 114: Car parks
- 115: Pedestrianised Areas and at traffic lights and pedestrian crossings.
- 116: All areas which have evening entertainment and particularly with a drinks licence. If the budget is restricted, then the black spots should be covered first and as a deterrent.
- 118: Shopping areas. Nearby Public houses and clubs
- 119: No idea, never been there
- 121: Main shopping areas
- 123: I would consider car parks (if not already covered) and a state of the art system for Marshalls Yard
- 124: Centre of town
- 125: Those areas where there is the highest crime rate and anti-social behaviour. This may not necessarily the town or shopping centre areas as a matter of course.
- 129: Shoppinh area
- 135: Centre
- 136: Car parks as well as the town centre and Marshals Yard.
- 137: At least all the business and entertainment area's.
- 138: Market Place, Trinity Street & Marshall's Yard.
- 148: morton terr
- 154: The area of the town centre, Marshall's Yard and the water front areas. Possibly along Trinity Street.
- 160: Shopping areas and around pubs.
- 167: I live in Caistor why should I pay for cctv in Gainsborough?
- 169: Shopping ares, communal areas, car parking areas
- 170: Pingle hill
- 171: Town centre.
- 172: Probably Marshall's Yard
- 174: Not sure where CCTV is in the town so cannot comment although we do visit Marshalls Yard every 2/3 months for shopping

- 175: Town centre
- 176: Town centre Marshalls Yard Trinity Street Linked to known trouble spots
- 178: where crime has been previously identified
- 179: Main pedestrian / shopping areas (for pickpockets, antisocial behaviour etc) Other less open pedestrian thoroughfares (to make people feel safer at night)
- 181: Car parks, shopping areas and the walkways between Marshall's Yard and the town centre. Ideally it should be on all public areas.
- 192: Anywhere where anti social behavior is a ongoing problem.
- 199: banks and riverside
- 200: Town centre
- 205: Around schools
- 209: the town centre and the streets of it and up to marshalls yard including both junctions to marshalls and the new roundabout at the bottom of spittal terrace.
- 210: Town centre, Marshalls Yard
- 211: Out side the pubs
- 213: Silver street market street lord street
- 220: Town Centre. Areas where there is excessive or persistent anti-social behaviour or other criminality.
- 223: My knowledge of Gainsborough is not great but I believe all town centre areas and car parks should be covered
- 225: Town centre and run down areas
- 227: Marshalls Yard, Market Square
- 228: Town centre and around the pubs
- 230: Market Square
- 231: areas with cash machines and shopping areas. possibly areas known to attract groups etc.
- 232: Don't really know as am only an occasional visitor but would assume it is those areas where crime is a problem or there is poor lighting / visibility and people feel unsafe etc.
- 234: Bus Station/Stops, Railway Stations, ATM sites, Areas around the location of Public Houses, Taxi Ranks, and late opening fast food operators.
- 235: Marshalls Yard, Town Centre and surrounding roads. also the bus station & Lea Road railway station
- 239: As much as possible.
- 242: Marshall's yard and market square
- 247: Shopping area, pubs/ clubs

- 249: I would suggest those areas suffering from anti-social behaviour are the first priority
- 256: Near the nightclubs and pubs and cash machines
- 259: Market Place Marshalls Yard Leisure Centre
- 263: All car parks
- 267: all areas
- 268: I do not visit Gainsborough very often so have no views. I do not believe that CCTV prevents crime only records it. It does however help in bringing people to justice.
- 275: Car Parks
- 276: Marshall's Yard Market Square
- 278: The city centre where the pubs are and the areas just around i.e The Old Hall, the riverside.
- 283: Town centre and shopping areas
- 284: Hardly ever visit Gainsborough, only Marshalls Yard area when I do visit so suggest this should be the priority area
- 285: Any area that is shown to have a high crime rate, or a raising crime rate.
- 286: car parks and precincts
- 287: I LIVE IN MARKET RASEN, WE HAVE NO CCTV, BUT WOULD LIKE IT - NO IDEA ABOUT GAINSBOROUGH AS I HAVE RARELY VISITED THE TOWN.
- 288: I SELDOM VISIT GAINSBOROUGH, SO HAVE NO IDEA. WE HAVE NO CCTV IN MARKET RASEN, GAINSBOROUGH ARE OBVIOUSLY VERY LUCKY TO HAVE IT.
- 290: Market Area, Market Street, Lord street, Church street and the Marshall's Yard area. On a lower priority but worth thought, Trinity Street and the areas from Oldrids Store to Lloyds Bank
- 296: Town centre only. There will be diminishing returns away from areas where large numbers of people congregate.
- 304: shopping area
- 306: Centre or areas of most disruption
- 307: Definitely the centre; near to the Old Hall, and surrounding area in a half mile radius
- 308: Alley ways and side roads leading away from shops.
- 309: main shopping areas and surrounding streets
- 317: Pubs clubs high street Marshall yard
- 318: Town centre, retail parks, public areas where drinking is allowed. Also business parks.
- 321: Why not put them in all the pubs and places where people gather.

- 322: Town centre and car parks
- 324: town centre
- 327: Areas where crime is more likely eg drug dealing.
- 329: Central market, car parks, outside public houses.
- 332: I never visit Gainsborough, so the above comments not really relevant. While in general town centre CCTV useful, it may merely divert illegal activity elsewhere, and effects need to be monitored.
- 337: In the main shopping areas, especially by day and around the pubs / clubs in the evenings / night. Anywhere that is known as a troubled area.
- 339: Do not know Gainsborough but usually it's the shops, fast food, public houses, car parks and parkland where anti-social behaviour occurs.
- 340: Do not visit Gainsborough very often and never at night so can only say town centre and any other areas where there are businesses and night clubs etc.
- 344: Market Place, Lord Street, Marshalls Yard, Trinity Street, Spring Gardens
- 346: Shopping areas/streets car parks open public spaces
- 349: Summergang Lane. Town centre.
- 350: I do not live in Gainsborough, so I cannot comment. Surely the areas where there is most crime should be covered and perhaps the areas where most of the perpetrators of crime live (but this would probably be classed as too much "Big Brother")
- 351: Town centre and where people gather
- 352: cctv should be allocated to areas where there is high crime, car parks, especially need good cameras as people committing these crimes are or don't seem to be worried about cameras being up,
- 358: Marshall's Yard, Market Place and streets near by. Riverside.
- 362: Town centre
- 365: only go to marshalls yard
- 371: areas in semi darkness and areas where people congregate.
- 372: bus station
- 373: town centre-all areas. so with wards and uphill areas.
- 376: I live much nearer to Lincoln and work in Newark so I rarely go into Gains. Both Newark and Lincoln have CCTV and I am a huge supporter of CCTV as a means of catching criminals and tracing peoples last movements.

- 378: Obviously the areas already covered also outlying residential areas.
- 381: Market Square
- 382: Trinity street
- 384: Market square area, gains - side streets around area. McDonalds, KFC areas. Marshalls Yard, Tescos car park
- 385: The square, church st, silver st, lord st, market st, the library/old hall
- 386: Car parks
- 388: Main routes in and out of the town, also pedestrian walkways/underpasses
- 393: Area of high usage - shopping areas and gathering places
- 394: All areas should be covered in the uphill estates it is easy for criminals to escape because there are too many back alleys. Also there are not enough police patrols in the area. Some of us hear scooters and motor bikes being driven on pathways and alley the cuprits when reported see the blue and whites and escape down the alleys.
- 395: Marshalls Yard
- 396: Outside all pubs and licensed clubs
- 398: Most
- 404: near public houses
- 406: Town centre and maybe trent bridge roads in and out of town
- 411: Car parks, trinity street area. Outside Mcdonalds and KFC
- 414: Instead of just Gains being covered by CCTV with todays technology surely mobile CCTV can be introduced and used throughout the area
- 415: The main shopping areas and surrounding streets
- 416: Areas that are busy with people during daytime and also evenings/nights pubs etc.
- 423: Market Place and Trinity Street
- 425: town centre, trinity street especially the Arts centre grounds. Men and dogs are using it for drinking and urinating which is not what is expected by people using the arts centre.
- 427: Town Centre
- 428: CCTV is only part of the solution. I know shoplifters who steal, regularly turn heads or wear hoodies and because there are no police available they get away with it. This is fact from 2 town security guards.
- 434: The more CCTv the better! definately town centre and marshalls yard

- 435: Industrial estates, night clubs. How about flexible units to cover where crime occurs
- 436: Car parks
- 438: Any areas where crime/antisocial behaviour is occurring
- 441: Market Place and old hall area
- 442: Marshalls Yard
- 446: Town centre
- 449: Where the most problems are
- 454: Lord street, market street, taxi rank, market place
- 458: As present plus pubs and clubs
- 459: as wide as possible - certainly town centre and marshalls yard
- 460: Shopping areas, this is useful in reducing shoplifting making traders happier to take lets in gains
- 467: Trinity arts centre
- 468: Town centre
- 469: Any area with a policeman stood beside the cctv so that he may prevent the crime or anti-social behaviour. Otherwise why have the cctv
- 470: Open car parks, centre and side streets. outside any trouble some pubs
- 474: town centre and marshalls yard
- 476: All areas
- 478: housing, shopping and bus stops
- 480: Car parks, where limited pedestrian footfall.
- 483: Around parking areas and marshalls yard
- 486: Any public places, leisure centres, car parks etc
- 490: What about the rest fo west lindsey. Gains is not the only place there you know.
- 495: Crime black spots and other towns
- 501: Areas that are used in the evening by most people, also around atm machines
- 503: Marshalls yard, market place, surrounding streets, known crime hotspots
- 513: High crime areas. Pingle hill area.
- 514: All the streets around the town centre also trinity st.
- 515: market place
- 516: All main shopping areas
- 517: Town centre and marshalls yard
- 521: Dark walkways, long stretches of road/streets, near pubs and clubs and carparks

523: Town centre

526: As we are in the West Lindsey area but live in Cherry Willingham, far from Gainsborough, this really does not have any affect on us. The fact that you feel that Gainsborough is the important issue about this CCTV I feel that it should just be asked of the locals there.

527: Town centre

529: 1. Those with copious blood on the street. 2. Those with frequent appearances of other bodily fluids. 3. Those where the residents request it (with recording, but not monitoring)

530: Main shopping areas and car parking structures

537: The market place

542: Above responses are subjective. When in town CCTV coverage is the last thing on my mind and I do nothing that requires privacy. As regards efficacy of CCTV, one would need a parallel area of the town without CCTV to which all the criminals and ne'er do wells would decant and the outcomes compared. Ditto areas not already covered; current coverage is presumably based on standard urban areas of risk and any additional coverage would not be cost effective.

543: Town centre and specific locations that are 'hot-spots' for anti-social behaviour.

545: All shopping areas and the riverside adjacent to the town centre plus the leisure centre.

548: All areas

549: market area and marshalls yard

551: All areas, will be good if possible

553: Where authorities know the people who commit crime congregate

554: Where people shop and crowds gather

555: Car parks

556: ATMs

557: All major routes

558: Town Centre

559: Centre and Recreational areas

560: Town centre, leisure centre, areas of high crime/arson

561: marshalls yard, market place, surrounding streets and trinity street.

569: north street carvpark, round old hall area and as many others as expenditure will allow

570: marshalls yard, town centre

- 571: market square, silver street, lord street
- 573: all public foot fall areas where security and safety is a priority
- 574: those with high footfall and attendance locations also late night venues and car parks
- 575: troubled areas
- 576: marshalls yard, pay car parks, market square
- 577: main high street
- 582: areas off the main centre
- 584: Market place, market square, church st,marshallsyard, silver street, lord st.
- 586: market place, lord st, church st, silver st
- 587: Anywhere crime is high
- 592: Shopping areas
- 601: Where young people gather in the evenings
- 607: Main streets and near banks and car parks
- 608: Walkway and whittons gardens, if they are still not working.
Car parks lea road station as many places as possible with the budget
- 615: All areas with HD cameras
- 618: Back roads, bus station,shopping centres
- 619: All the busy areas
- 621: Speak to police for known hot spots
- 626: Mains road through (ANPR)
- 628: In the town
- 639: Anywhere
- 640: Town centre, marshalls yard,trent bridge area - traffic in and out of town, parks and playgrounds, pubs.
- 641: Town centre, pingle hill
- 647: Morrisons
- 648: Town centre, marshalls yard
- 649: Around the secondary schools and bus station and rail station and town centre and marshalls yard
- 650: Trouble spots
- 652: All CBD districts, all car parks
- 653: Trinity street, entire length
- 655: Town centre/marshalls yard/old hall
- 656: As much of the centre as possible.
- 657: Market place, marshalls yard, around schools and public footpaths and underpasses
- 658: Marshalls yard if not covered areas where people congregate

- 659: Present system to cover only marshalls yard and town centre (where most people visit) would continue to be effective
- 660: The cctv cameras on the trentsideside walk operate occasionally
- 663: Town centre
- 664: Hospital, problem estates, and old peoples residences
- 667: Highfield close
- 671: Market rasen square and welton village green
- 672: Town centre, park springs estate
- 674: Where youths gather in the evenings
- 676: Parks, subways, riverside walk, allotments
- 678: Where public houses are and none british people i.e. ropery road
- 679: Market place, marshalls yard
- 680: around pubs and car parks
- 681: around pubs and car parks
- 682: Outside trinity arts
- 685: Bus station, theatre front and back
- 686: Cut through routes to and from Marshalls yard, Job centre area
- 687: Market square and marshall yard
- 689: Caistor and market rasen
- 691: Waterside areas
- 699: Outside pubs and shops and wherever crimes have been reported, car parks
- 702: All the areas of town
- 703: Banks/building society/town centre
- 704: The main shopping and business areas
- 705: Market rasen
- 706: All of gains
- 707: Marshalls Yard and town centre
- 708: Don't live in Gainsborough, so can't comment. I am in favour of CCTV and think it is a vital part of life. It helps me to feel safe and reassures me my children are safe.
- 718: Sheltered areas not easily seen
- 723: All areas with reduced police cover. CCTV maximum cover helps reduce all anti pubic issues
- 726: Around Market Place
- 735: MArket rasen and caistor
- 739: Pinglehill area
- 741: All areas where crime if frequently committed
- 742: All town centre and play grounds

- 749: Car parking areas
- 750: All
- 753: Lea road and foxby hill junction. Thorndyke road junctions and crossing. pingle hill area
- 758: town centre and marshalls yard
- 759: Town centre, pubs, summergangs lane
- 760: Public house areas, old market place, roads leading out of centre, marshalls yard
- 764: Market place and mashalls yard
- 766: Town centre, council estates
- 767: Around the market square and nearby streets
- 770: Shop fronts and back entrances, any alleyways, anywhere crowds congregate
- 776: Church street, the market square, shopping streets within the town
- 778: Market place
- 781: Marshalls yard and the bus station

14. Do you have any other comments about CCTV in Gainsborough?
285 (100.0%)

- 6: get rised of it and invest in market rasen ,= we provide money for services in gainsborough that are not needed and expensive.
- 15: Wouldn't exactly say its a priority for improvement.
- 22: Yes- stop focusing all our money on your own needs, this council is extremely selfish in its attitudes. All I see and hear is Gainsborough, Gainsborough, Gainsborough. It feels like we in the villages and the towns around you pay everything in and get nothing in return.
- 23: Why just Gainsborough?
- 29: If it helps reduce crime a certain amount of personal freedom has to be lost. If the arrests and convictions are high enough to justify the expense then fine, but if all we do is identify the offenders and then "nothing" happens - what is the point? Is the quality of the CCTV high enough?
- 30: I think that the survey concentrates too much on Gaisborough other areas need to be considered.

35: Overall CCTV as a measure to improve public safety and crime investigation has my support and I have one major concern in relation to privacy so long as the cameras cover public areas only and there is more discretion used when supplying footage to the media.

36: Gainsborough does not deserve the benefit of improved CCTV, other towns and villages, like Market Rasen or Caistor are far more deserving.

38: We are Street Pastors in Lincoln and know that the CCTV coverage is essential to provide safety in the night time economy. Having seen the CCTV set up behind the scenes it generates a great deal of confidence in me because of its extensive coverage of the city centre and because of its ability to identify problems.

41: Make it more visible.

46: Once again this panel is being asked to consider the Gainsborough centric policy's of West Lindsey Council. You suggest charging for green waste collection a borough wide service that is currently free. On the other hand you suggest spending money on a service that is provided for only one small geographical area of the borough. I thought Gainsborough has a town Council who could deal with local issues. West Lindsey needs to concentrate on the strategic needs of the whole of the borough. Important issues such as Housing, waste management, economic development, future development and other environmental issues. When asking questions about Gainsborough please allow the panel member to answer never visit not a pointed answer that can be interpreted as visits occasionally.

50: It is not an invasion of privacy whilst we are in a public place

54: With the apparent increase in home use of CCTV systems, perhaps more could be done to link with these homeowners to use their coverage for outlying areas. I realize that this may mean a change in the present law concerning privacy etc, but to use existing assets at no or little extra cost would be quite useful.

62: I personally do not have a problem with cctv. People often say it's a invasion of privosy my opinion is if you have nothing to hide what's the problem?

64: My visits to Gainsborough are rare so it has been difficult to comment on this section.

65: It seems to be a worthwhile investment, maybe even putting up some dummy cameras and mixing them in with the real ones could act as a further deterrent

73: Every effort should be made to make people safe to walk about especially at night and CCTV acts as a deterrent and reduces crime and anti-social behaviour. The police need all the help available in their difficult task. There is an argument that CCTV just moves the problem elsewhere but if as many problem areas as possible were covered this risk would be reduced.

81: Why has Gainsborough got the priority for CCTV?

82: That it is well displayed that the area is covered so that those up to no good know they are being recorded

87: I have no problem with CCTV at all.

96: I sincerely hope that CCTV cameras will not be used to generate money by spying on shoppers parking around the town, which would result in driving people away, as has happened in Lincoln.

97: I agree on some CCTV, but also think people privacy is also a valued point & think it's getting out of hand with CCTV

98: Need to ensure that the CCTV is able to produce high definition images

103: I don't see or hear of any prosecutions for anyone seen doing anything illegal in the town centre or anywhere else.

109: I think there should be CCTV yes but don't forget that West Lindsey doesn't just consist of Gainsborough, there is a lot of crime in the rural areas, and villages.

115: The cameras should be of sufficient clarity to be able to read number plates for identification and also of sufficient strength to be able to recognise culprits who commit offences i.e. cycling in forbidden areas!

116: It is difficult to answer questions on effectiveness, unless you have the crime figures, including those which have attracted a successful prosecution.

125: Whilst I think there is the need for CCTV in certain areas it should not be a substitute for the presence of regular patrols by the police. A lot of the real criminal element will be fully aware of where CCTV cameras are and will operate in the "black spot" areas. The quality of certain images is not 100% and therefore in cases of convictions cannot be useful in the courts. My other comment is that the Control Rooms for the cameras may not be local, and therefore the time taken from seeing potential incidents to informing the police may be too long to be effective.

126: I think CCTV anywhere has to be a deterrent

133: As there are no statistics available with details of convictions as a result of cctv in Gainsborough I cannot properly answer 13 and 14. CCTV seems to be effective in other towns in identifying offenders.

136: I do not see they are a problem at all, if we are doing nothing wrong then why should we oppose them. They are there to help prevent crime.

137: Was a PC for 25 years in Norfolk and was in at the start in Norfolk and had a lot to do with CCTV. All in favour, great idea if worked properly but only really effective if enough REAL policemen available to respond to incidents (PCSO's are ersatz police and have less powers than my specials had).

148: the police would not come.. thy are always to busy

152: I feel that most council spending is done in Gainsborough area and that more should be spent on maintaining the roads and services in the areas further away, I realise that west lyndsey covers a very large area but often feel that villages on the periphery get forgotten .

153: CCTV should only be installed where essential, and it value and use monitored.

156: Not about Gainsborough, but what about Market Rasen CCTV. This was cut due to cost's!!! Why is it always Gainsborough that gets everything? We in other areas feel very short changed when it comes to charges and what WE get in return. Please address this balance the world does not revolve around Gainsborough.. Can you please explain why West Lindsey feels Market Rasen does not need CCTV especially with the news that we will be getting ex sex offenders placed in the 'foyer'?

159: Have answered not effective but iam really not in any position to comment on how many convictions are brought xue to cctv footage. I suspect more wardens or police maybe more effective but cctv comes out of the capital budget!

160: Make it more obvious that CCTV is around

168: its another case of big brother. what next ?????

174: If you have nothing to hide how can you argue against CCTV as you have nothing to fear and everything to gain from extra protection

175: I'm not really aware of where the cameras are and I don't really have a clue about whether they are effective at reducing crime, I would imagine they are but it's just a guess. I do feel the risk is that CCTV in built up areas increases crime in rural areas as criminals seek to go unnoticed. The real way to reduce crime is in prevention, I.e. Look at children who are at risk of turning to crime - those born into families with high levels of alcoholism, depression, history of abuse etc and support those families from birth. This is the most economical way I feel, investing in CCTV is investing only in a system which operates after the horse has bolted.

181: It needs to be all working properly and have a bit of money spent on it.

186: What is special about Gainsborough. What about the other parts of the West Lindsey District Council area for CCTV coverage..

193: Why only Gainsborough. With today's technology even some of the villages could be covered in part

199: It is very unfair that this is supported by my taxation, for people who may pay less or none or subsidised tax, when there is no scheme in Market Rasen where I actually shop and live. This suggests services across the district are unfairly provided lacking parity and not meeting the Council equality policy

202: From what I see of the CCTV pictures they are of poor quality and resolution. People can seldom be identified due to the poor picture quality.

205: I do visit Marshalls Yard for pleasure every month or so. The only place that sometimes feels unsafe is around the fountain area,

208: I do not live in Gainsborough however I do visit. I believe we should use CCTV in all towns and cities in the county, I live closer to Caistor and Market Rasen and here too I believe they would benefit from extensive CCTV, it works in identifying anti-social behaviour and is effective in reaching convictions, I am a trained CCTV operator in my work and SIA licenced in surcurity

209: the cctv scan where they have been told to but crooks and the trouble makers are not as thick as we my think they can read every position of cctv camaras so to waste money just to up grade is wrong that money can be used in better areas but to add to and to and put them to good use then i do not have a problem.. thank you

213: Have had family experience when cctv was poor and not fit for purpose and not good enough for evidence

220: Do not think about a decrease in coverage, if affordable, please increase coverage.

226: The root cause of crime needs to be addressed and not keep spending money on more CCTV. Those people who cause damage in the town need to have some harsh penalty applied to them - harsh penalty - so they don't do anything again.

231: it's a good thing

232: My understanding of CCTV is that it is important that it is used appropriately and effectively and that someone views the images (which maybe costly) all of the time to enable appropriate and timely intervention to occur to protect people's safety and to prevent danger and damage from occurring. The CCTV must be of high quality for visual facial recognition to enable prosecution if criminal activity has been committed and I assume many criminals hide their faces or other recognizable features and therefore it is important to ensure such facilities exist to avoid wasting public money on something that does not achieve this aim. Clearly sensitivity to people's rights to privacy must also be protected and the devices and their recording only used for safety and crime prevention and subsequently those who view the images are appropriately vetted and professional and the images destroyed after viewing unless they are to be used for safety and crime prevention.

233: Why is only Gainsborough selected for CCTV. Is it a crime hotspot?

234: I don't know the location of the CCTV in Gainsborough therefore is difficult to make meaningful comment. Although I am a big supporter of using this technology to fight crime and anti-social behaviour. I believe any loss of personal privacy is more than outweighed by the public good.

237: I believe CCTV has an impact on crime levels. My neighbor's wallet was stolen while she was in B&Q's car park in Gainsborough (not covered by CCTV). The thieves then used the card to take money from her account at cash points in Gainsborough (also not covered by CCTV). The thieves appeared to have deliberately selected locations without CCTV to carry out their crime. In general I do not object to the use of CCTV.

238: I only visit Gainsborough once every 2-3 weeks as we do not have any public transport in our village. Another option should have been given to the questions above ie "Don't know" . I was only aware that CCTV was in operation because when I was on a business admin. course in Gainsborough a young lady in the same building was working on a course related to CCTV in the town. I am not aware how effective it is in reducing crime.

239: CCTV is only as good as the cameras that you install. If they are recorded good, if they are monitored by someone even better. I have not seen any notices to the effect that there are cameras in Gainsborough. That the area is under CCTV surveillance also who is responsible for the system. (Data Protection Act) any cameras that you intend to purchase in the future please get the best this will help the police in any face recognition. If we do monitor in Gainsborough but not 24/7 why not join our system to other councils, To share the cover, Get the DVR to email/text as many persons as needed.

241: Q11 can only really be answered meaningfully by those with criminal or anti-social intentions! Regarding Q12, there must be data on crime-solving that has made use of CCTV; which if otherwise might not have been successful. And then you have to weigh up the anti-privacy effects and spurious, less-justified use to which CCTV can be put and which can criminalise those who genuinely accidentally make a mistake in their behaviour.

242: Increase the coverage where reasonably possible.

246: As part of the Street Pastor team in Lincoln and find that the CCTV crew are working well to make Lincoln safer on Friday and Saturday nights.

255: Street CCTV can only be effective if IMMEDIATE back-up is available to take relevant action.

271: If you do put it in ~ at least make sure when people are being filmed they can be identified and not just a blur!

272: may simply displace criminal activity to other areas so net benefit is unclear

273: Difficult to comment these questions as I am not aware of crime statistics before CCTV and now to make a comparison. However is it difficult to believe that CCTV generally will have no effect.

276: I did not realize there was CCTV but now feel safer that there is this coverage.

278: I know nothing of the CCTV picture quality but I do think that if the cameras are of a good enough quality to get good images of people that help lead to convictions it can only be a good thing.

285: If you have nothing to hide then you have no reason to object to CCTV!

286: The more the better if it helps prevent crime.

300: I very rarely go into the town centre, as I have no need to, so it would be unfair for me to tick any boxes relating to questions 9 and 10 in this survey. Questions 11 and 12. - CCTV does help in preventing crime, but only when supported by an adequate police force which in turn is 100% supported by the criminal justice systems. Why is so much money spent on the town of Gainsborough, which supports a minor proportion of WLDC's population? - When is the council going to address rural crime and the needs of the outlying district?

306: Save the money on the upgrade and leave it as it is

308: When my car was stolen from the Local Authority Sport Centre the cameras picked up absolutely no information whatsoever.

311: Quality of the images need to be better so faces can be published in the paper.

313: I visit Gainsborough (Marshalls Yard) maybe 2 / 3 times a year so I do not feel able to honestly and correctly answer the questions. However Gainsborough has a certain reputation, which isn't great so if CCTV helps to improve the town that can only be a positive.

317: It's ridiculous to ask if privacy is respected. Either there's CCTV to protect the majority or there's privacy.

321: A total intrusion into the private and personal life of "normal" people.

329: My personal view is the more active CCTV the better. If only it were feasible to have contiguous CCTV absolutely everywhere in all public places (& criminals/anti-social people had to 'clean up their own mess'), there would be very little crime &/or anti-social behaviour at all. In the long run it would save money & resources.

336: More police patrols would be more reassuring!

337: CCTV itself does not invade one's privacy. It is how it is used / broadcasted that dictates how acceptable CCTV is. Overall I think that the benefit of having CCTV outweighs the privacy issue. But the privacy issue has to be borne in mind when CCTV evidence is used publicly.

339: It enables prosecution so it has to be a benefit.

344: The camera resolution should be as high as possible for evidential purposes.

345: Is current system monitored 24/7 and who does the monitoring, and how long images stored?

349: Not only should this be a priority but cameras should be activated in Market Rasen and Caistor

350: I was involved as a witness to the contents of a car being stolen in daylight in Lincoln. The CCTV coverage was able to identify the culprit and he was apprehended within a few hours. Having CCTV did not stop him from committing the crime. I did not complete the section on whether it is effective in stopping crime because I do not know. It obviously did not stop him and it probably would not stop drunken behaviour but it may stop the cleverer criminal and encourage them to target areas not covered by CCTV. CCTV by its nature does not respect our privacy.

351: Should be kept

362: Should sometimes be installed in certain 'dark corners'.

371: what if every corner in the town centre was connected to a central control panel? would that not be to everyones advantage and provide even better coverage.

373: i think cctv is only effective in combating anti social behaviour etc if it is very well advertised that it is in place. could have more signs/larger signs any advertising.

375: i have no problem with cctv if you're not doing anything wrong theres nothing to see

378: It is an intrusion of privacy but needed with current attitudes regarding respect for property and the public

380: Is the base for viewing CCTV going to be manned 24/7? Is it cost effective?

382: It pushes crime to more rural areas, consider CCTV in rural areas as well

384: CCTV provides a safer environment, objections usually come from people who have something to hide. Not a threat to ordinary law abiding citizens. The more cameras the better in my opinion.

385: There is no feedback to citizens about how effective CCTV is currently. How many convictions was it connected to? How many crimes has it prevented? Actual case studies published would prove its use. An open day to show people what is covered or a link to the internet to see for ourselves.

386: CCTV must be cost effective

394: We know we have CCTV in the town centre but it is not only town centre that trouble can be found. Other areas are also got bother with anti social characters around them.

396: Why is only Gains of interest to WLDC?

398: No but glad you have it

403: You might try extending CCTV coverage to other parts of the district such as Market Rasen, Caistor and Keelby. The vast majority of WLDC residents don't live in Gains.

404: How much does it cost? Would need more info on effectiveness to make a proper judgement.

411: As a law-abiding citizen, I would hope that the CCTV we have is effective in prevention and identification, but I am only guessing in my answers and have no idea where they already are.

413: The DC should consider all populated areas e.g. Market Rasen, Caistor when dealing with provision of CCTV coverage. Why are Gains alone considered in this questionnaire?

414: CCTV is good but when in one fixed area antisocial behaviour moves to areas not covered by CCTV. If mobile technology is used then it keeps everyone safe and offenders wary about their behaviour.

415: All visitors and residents feel it is a safer environment because it is covered by CCTV.

419: Don't like the intrusion wherever it is.

422: Are the CCTVs manned or is it recorded? Can the culprit be apprehended right away or later after a recording has been looked at? How often has the CCTV helped to catch the villain?

424: When I have had to contact the CCTV service they have always been very helpful. But I know nothing about their involvement in policing or crime prevention. So cannot comment if it is effective or not.

426: I do not think that the district council should have any responsibility for CCTV in Gains because it does not benefit the district of WL. Gains Town Council should take responsibility and WLDC should pay for CCTV in all towns and villages. It is self-evident that Gains is on the western extremity of the District and offers no benefit to the majority of WLDC tax payers. It might be beneficial if a future survey asked the panel where they go for a range of services and facilities. The residents of the Wolds and Lincoln fringe resent a Gains-centric council. A survey would help to establish an equitable distribution of council time and taxes.

427: If people are not breaking the law they need have no fear about intrusion by CCTV.

428: CCTV is positioned well but is either not manned or not communicating with local officers. My father was set on by 5 youths with knives they said it was a spot with no CCTV. If it wasn't for an ex-soldier stepping in my father could have been injured or worse just doing his job.

- 432: I find that images that are taken by CCTV are never very clear and I would not recognise anyone from them.
- 433: What ever is done should help in a positive way
- 434: As much CCTV as the council can afford
- 435: I live in Nettleton so Gains is rather remote.
- 439: Need to publicise where CCTV is
- 440: Cameras are very good
- 447: We need CCTV
- 455: Common sense dictates the more cctv the more chance of avoiding crime or at worse apprehending criminals
- 463: CCTv is the only way people feel safe in Gains.
- 467: Gainsborough needs to be as safe a place as possible for older residents
- 468: It would be good to know if cctv is effective at preventing crime and identifying criminals. Some comparative figures would be very helpful as a member of the general public I can only guess at how effective cctv in gains is.
- 469: CCTv in gains does nothing for council tax payers in west lindsey who only visit the town for 1 or 2 hrs per week. CCTV will only be a means of preventing crime if people know they will be punished, more expense on cctv needs to lead to more prosecutions.
- 471: Buy efficient equipment not something that gives you a fuzzy image that you cannot tell who the person is. CCTV is only useful if the images are good and give you a clear picture of what everyone is being observed
- 475: i think CCTv is a useful tool in dealing with crime and antisocial behaviour. I would be happy to see it extended to other large towns in lincolnshire
- 477: it can be very effective in court but only if the quality is good enough for identification purposes. The cctv coverage also needs to be covering a sufficient area of the town centre to be able to cover an incident if it travels.
- 480: Would like to see resources prioritised into stopping the causes of crime and antisocial behaviour in Gains. town regeneration schemes/bids
- 483: CCTV should be fitted in main streets of all towns
- 486: Make people more aware of that presence
- 491: What about market Rasen
- 495: money better spent on police patrolling black spots. Spend money on sending out police cctv van and not upgrading system.
- 502: Very gains centric

511: How much electric does this system use? Cost of CCTV and installation? Life of cameras. Cost to the environment, what is the cost of maintenance, what is the cost of scrappage?

513: CCTV goes a long way to making people feel safer and gives police a much better chance of catching and prosecuting perpetrators of a crime.

514: I have been told its not always in use

517: We need more not less. It will only be as good as the operatives on the job. If this is local people

521: i think CCTV is invasive but it does help identify and reduce crime and anti-social behaviour.CCTV has become part of every day life you dont really notice them.

525: I think CCTV in any town or city centres are valuable and essential to deter crime and catch the correct people who break the law

527: Should sometimes be installed in certain 'dark corners'.

529: Re question 11: Cannot answer this without some evidence describing the increase or decrease in crime and anti-social behaviour and other possible contributory factors (e.g. changes in licensing hours, increased/decreased cost of drugs and so forth).

534: Too expensive for WLDC to maintain.

535: Cameras are so common place now that I don't think those who are committing offenses are the least bit bothered. You only need a hood & a cap & non- descriptive clothing to 'hide'. On the occasions when someone can be identified then it is evidence to back up.

540: More police on the streets or at least a visible presence.

542: CCTV is trumpeted as reducing undesirable behaviours yet we only have the operators' claims that thus is the result. The deterrent effect and outcomes of CCTV should be the subject of regular publicity. Perhaps the threat of publicly outed would enhance the deterrent effect of CCTV coverage!

546: Despite all the claims, CCTV has very limited success in crime prevention. The best prevention is boots on the ground.

549: CCTV is only affective when it is high enough resolution to see facial details

551: We think it could be better

560: Need to be good quality pictures. I would be interested in the cost of this over policemen/pcsos on the street during busy times/events/football

573: I feel strongly that it is a priority and does play its role in acting as a positive means of reduction in crime, anti social behaviour and provides a safe and secure town to visit.

574: I agree with implementation of such systems in vulnerable areas also potential trouble spots. mobile cameras like in Scarborough

578: need to advertise current use of system

579: if the council can fund CCTV in Gains, they ought also to fund it in Market Rasen. the concentration on Gains annoys and makes other residential areas feel resentful.

581: need to be good quality pictures

582: Clearer pictures would help. Do they pick up sound? If not why not?

586: Take advice from the police, who must be aware of where criminal/anti social behaviour takes place

587: CCTV needs to provide clear images and when antisocial or shoplifting is seen the police need to attend

591: Save the money for free green bins

593: If the system is working ok, save the money by not upgrading the system till it is totally necessary

595: Why should people outside Gains pay for Gains to have CCTV. the town council should pay for this.

596: Why only Gains and not other communities

604: Seek the opinion from the Crown Prosecution service on the effectiveness of CCTV evidence in securing convictions

606: People outside Gains shouldn't have to pay for a service for Gains only, especially after asking questions about saving money!!!

607: The more the better

615: CCTV cannot be effective unless it is HD so that persons can be easily and properly identified and should not respect privacy

618: I feel comfortable in Gains, the CCTV gives confidence and security to the public

620: CCTV should be available in all areas.

627: CCTV should be more widespread

634: Public funding for CCTV should not just be available to Gains. Some rural villages would benefit also from having more crime detected CCTV on roads entering villages would help to see which vehicles were in the village when crimes were committed.

636: What about Caistr, Market Rasen and large villages?

640: If CCTV is to be very effective it needs to be clear. recordings not grainy pictures. No one can be identified from some recordings I have seen.

648: Its a great tool for theft, aggressive behaviour to public and police criminals are often caught this way.

651: CCTV is only as good as the coverage and the skill of the operators and police. Bad people know about cctv they if they have any sense will avoid areas covered. High coverage is essential successful prosecutions due to cctv should be published.

652: Are they manned 24/7? What happens if someone is attacked/assuted if not?

656: Cuts down on crime in town centre but drives offending out of CCTV area

667: there is no CCTV at the end of highfield close. we could do with a cctv

670: In my opinion no cctv no road cleaning no drains cleared when blocked, pot holes street lights repairs!

671: Whole district not just Gains

673: Other parts of the district not just Gains

674: Speak to the prosecution service for advice

678: Too much money wasted on non important matters and not enough on CCTV

687: Is cctv most useful in the evenings?

697: I find it intrusive and an infringement of my libery, conversly I am realistic enough to understand the need for it, in certain circumstances

699: The more the better

702: Must be clean pictures of peoples faces

704: I do feel we need CCTV covering the main busy areas in our towns and city. It is a deterrant and helps the police fight crime and help with missing people

706: Useless unless backup is provided

714: I would like to come in and watch CCTV operators with a group arrangement. It would help put my mind at rest

719: CCTV is only effective if the images are a good quality and in areas of good lighting day and night

720: CCTV in other areas of WL?

723: More the better. A town that is developing with investment. Keeps on top of anti social behaviour and keeps people feeling safe. Less vandalism. Good investment

728: I think CCTV works if you have the best with quality recordings and then for the necessary steps to be taken. No good at all if the criminals or actions taken are not followed by the body of people operating it

- 732: Why should people living in villages pay for this in Gains when we get nothing. Let Gains people pay on local tax
- 741: CCTV can be transferred to the police headquarters thus making it the responsibility of the police or the town council not the district council
- 743: For me I feel it is more about how Marshall yard is laid out that makes me feel safe. It's open and is in a rectangle shape with the buildings around the edge.
- 746: No protection for us, rural residents. Police very difficult to get hold of
- 759: It should be manned 24 hours a day by staff that are only for CCTV not working for Marshalls Yard
- 761: Very important to cover pedestrian areas
- 766: I am all for CCTV anywhere in Gains. Would like to see more speed cameras along Lea road and Gains road
- 771: CCTV is effective anywhere, if when individuals are caught the courts should give out sensible punishments and not just a slap on the wrist. That will not deter future misdemeanours and makes the whole process a complete waste of time and tax payers money.